



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

series of rocks of the South Valley Hill, these must be the slates referred to, even if 'hydromica slates' is a contradiction in terms.'

While the undersigned certainly does not intend to be a champion for the term 'slate' instead of 'schist' for these rocks, good reason for the use of that term lies in the slaty character of many of these hydromicas as distinguished from the contorted and schistose character of the micaceous rocks of other regions.

The writer's use of the expression 'hydromica slate' in describing the Edge Hill and Barren Hill rocks (the 'altered primal slates' of Rogers), is thought preferable to the term 'hydromica schist,' since large portions of that formation are slaty rather than schistose. The greater part of the formation is a slaty sandstone or quartz slate, and, where outcropping in Chester county, is so designated by Dr. Frazer. It might naturally be taken for granted that the writer believes, with Dr. Frazer, that the hydromica schists and slates of the South Valley Hill of Chester county are about contemporaneous with this quartz slate or Edge Hill rock.

In order to prevent future misapprehension, it may here be stated, that the writer has been led to the conclusion that the two formations are distinct, and that both Professors Rogers and Frazer have confounded two rock series belonging to different geological horizons,—the one, Cambrian; the other, Silurian. The analogue of the Edge Hill rock is believed to occur in Chester county, on the south side of the hydromicas of the South Valley Hill. The facts leading to this conclusion have been gathered during some extended field-work in Chester county, and will shortly be published. Meanwhile, the remarks upon the primal slates made in the Franklin institute lecture should be understood as referring solely to the Edge Hill rocks proper, and not to the South Valley Hill schists or slates, which are but poorly defined in the vicinity of Philadelphia.

H. CARVILL LEWIS.

The specific distinctness of the American and European brine shrimps.

In Professor Smith's notice of our 'Monograph of phyllopod Crustacea,' he states, that, in the portion relating to the above subject, 'there is certainly confusion,' and quotes two paragraphs relating to the females alone, and finally remarks, "but differences like these in statements of observation betray inexplicable carelessness."

After quoting the two paragraphs relating to the females alone, it seems to us a careful critic would have also taken pains to have quoted the longer paragraph relating to the males, which directly follows the first paragraph quoted by our critic. To allow the two paragraphs relating to the females to be so widely separated was an oversight on the part of the author, who, however, thought that he had taken a good deal of pains to show the specific distinctness of the American and European species. Two sets of females from different localities, named by different persons, were examined at different times; and this explains how the two paragraphs became placed too far apart in the author's copy. It would have been better, of course, if the author had added a few words, and dogmatically stated that the two species were undoubtedly distinct. He preferred not to do, or omitted to do, this, but gave in considerable detail, and in as judicial a way as possible, the facts of the case. At first it was 'difficult to find good differential characters' between the females, and those found are but slight ones. The females of any of the species of *Artemia*, *Branchinecta*, or *Branchipus*, do not exhibit

good specific characters; but the males do, as the author attempted to show. If the author failed in directness of statement on this subject, or led to any confusion in any one's mind, he sincerely regrets it: on the other hand, he doubts whether there were, in the case, reasons for the charge of 'inexplicable carelessness.'

The paragraph which Professor Smith would have done well to have quoted is the following one:—

"Upon comparing a good many males from Great Salt Lake with several, both stained with carmine and unstained, received from Cagliari, Sardinia, through Prof. J. McLeod of Ghent, the European *A. salina* is seen to be considerably stouter, the head wider, the eye-stalks longer and larger, and the eyes larger. The frontal button-like processes of the first joint of the claspers are nearly twice as large as in the American species, and a little more pointed, while the claspers themselves are larger and stouter. The legs and sixth endites are of about the same form. The most apparent difference is in the caudal appendages, or cercopods, which in *A. salina* are several times larger than in *A. gracilis*, being in the Sardinian specimens nearly three times as long and much larger than in our species. In this respect, the genus shows a close affinity to *Branchinecta*. However, in a lot of *A. salina* ♀ from Trieste, the cercopods are very much shorter than in the Sardinian females, and only a little longer than in our American specimens. These appendages do not differ in the two sexes."

A. S. PACKARD, Jun.

Bone fish-hooks.

Recently, while digging in a shell-heap near Narragansett Pier, Rhode Island, I found among broken arrow-points, and fragments of bone, pottery, and shells, a nicely worked bone-hook, and also the shanks of three other apparently similar hooks; while in a neighboring shell-heap two more fragments were found.



The perfect hook measures a little more than one inch in length, and a little less than one inch across from the shank to the point, the latter being nearly as long as the former. The shank is flattened and notched at the end, forming a sort of head, somewhat similar to the fish-hooks of the present day. This hook, although much shorter, resembles a hook from Long Island described and figured by Mr. Charles C. Abbott on p. 208 of his work on Primitive Industry. Of this he says, "Objects of this character are exceedingly rare, either as found on the surface, or in shell-heaps. While of so simple a form, bone fish-hooks of this pattern do not appear to be common in any locality in eastern North America."

Figures are here given of the perfect hook, and the